# CAKRAWALA PENDIDIKAN

# FORUM KOMUNIKASI ILMIAH DAN EKSPRESI KREATIF ILMU PENDIDIKAN

The Effectiveness of KWLH with Edraw-Max in the Teaching Reading Descriptive Text for Junior High School Students

Penerapan *Treffinger* Berbasis *Realistic Mathematics Education* (RME) pada Materi Lingkaran untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Siswa

The Effectiveness of Mind Mapping in Teaching Writing Recount Text on the Seventh Grade Students of SMPN 3 Kedungwaru in Academic Year 2018/2019

Pengaruh Kompensasi, Kepemimpinan dan Kompetensi terhadap Kinerja Pegawai KPU Kabupaten Tulungagung

The Effectiveness of TSTS Method with Movie in Teaching Speaking for Junior High School Students

The Effectiveness of TTW Strategy Using Video in Teaching Writing on Procedure Text for Senior High School

Peran Guru di Era Revolusi Industri 4.0

The Effectiveness of ESA with WPS Presentation in the Teaching of Simple Present Tense for Junior High School Students

Keluarga Sebagai Peletak Dasar Penanaman Sikap Toleransi Anak

The Effectiveness of Small Group Discussion in Teaching Writing to the Seventh Grade Students of SMPN 3 Kedungwaru in Academic Year 2018 / 2019

The Effectiveness of DRTA Strategy with Instagram Media in the Teaching Reading for Senior High School Students

The Effectiveness of Process Writing Method with the Brain 10 in Teaching Writing for Junior High School Students

Profil Penalaran Siswa MTs Al Muslihuun pada Materi Peluang Berorientasi Pendekatan *Metaphorical Thinking* Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Matematis

Hubungan Pendidikan Karakter dengan Kecerdasan Intelektual dan Kecerdasan Emosional

**PQRST Method in the Teaching Reading on Hortatory Exposition Text** 

## CAKRAWALA PENDIDIKAN Forum Komunikasi Ilmiah dan Ekspresi Kreatif Ilmu Pendidikan

Terbit dua kali setahun pada bulan April dan Oktober Terbit pertama kali april 1999

#### **Ketua Penyunting**

Feri Huda

#### **Wakil Ketua Penyunting**

Saiful Rifa'i

#### **Penyunting Pelaksana**

Udin Erawanto Suryanti Annisa Rahmasari

#### **Penyunting Ahli**

Miranu Triantoro Riki Suliana Khafid Irsyadi

#### Pelaksana Tata Usaha

Kristiani Suminto Sunardi

**Alamat Penerbit/Redaksi**: STKIP PGRI Blitar, Jl. Kalimantan No. 111 Blitar, Telp. (0342) 801493. Langganan 2 Nomor setahun Rp. 200.000,00 ditambah ongkos kirim Rp. 50.000,00.

**CAKRAWALA PENDIDIKAN** diterbitkan oleh Sekolah Tinggi Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan PGRI Blitar. **Ketua**: Dra. Riki Suliana RS., M.Pd., **Wakil Ketua**: M. Khafid Irsyadi ST., M.Pd

Penyunting menerima artikel yang belum pernah diterbitkan di media cetak yang lainnya. Syarat- syarat, format dan aturan tata tulis artikel dapat diperiksa pada *Petunjuk bagi Penulis* di sampul belakang dalam jurnal ini. Artikel yang masuk akan ditelaah oleh Tim Penyunting dan Mitra Bestari untuk dinilai kelayakannya. Tim akan melakukan perubahan tata letak dan tata bahasa yang diperlukan tanpa mengubah maksud dan isinya.

#### Petunjuk Penulisan Cakrawala Pendidikan

- 1. Artikel belum pernah diterbitkan di media cetak yang lainnya.
- 2. Artikel diketik dengan memperhatikan aturan tentang penggunaan tanda baca dan ejaan yang baik dan benar sesuai *Pedoman Umum Ejaan Bahasa Indonesia yang Disempurnakan (Depdikbud, 1987)*
- 3. Pengetikan Artikel dalam format Microsoft Word, ukuran kertas A4, spasi 1.5, jenis huruf *Times New Roman*; ukuran huruf 12. Dengan jumlah halaman; 10 20 halaman.
- 4. Artikel yang dimuat dalam Jurnal ini meliputi tulisan tentang hasil penelitian, gagasan konseptual, kajian dan aplikasi teori, tinjauan kepustakaan, dan tinjauan buku baru.
- 5. Artikel ditulis dalam bentuk esai, disertai judul sub bab (heading) masing-masing bagian, kecuali bagian pendahuluan yang disajikan tanpa judul sub bab. Peringkat judul sub bab dinyatakan dengan jenis huruf yang berbeda, letaknya rata tepi kiri halaman, dan tidak menggunakan nomor angka, sebagai berikut:

#### PERINGKAT 1 (HURUF BESAR SEMUA TEBAL, RATA TEPI KIRI)

Peringkat 2 (Huruf Besar-kecil Tebal, Rata Tepi Kiri)

Peringkat 3 (Huruf Besar-kecil Tebal, Miring, Rata Tepi Kiri)

- 6. Artikel konseptual meliputi; (a) judul, (b) nama penulis, (c) abstrak dalam bahasa Indonesia dan Inggris (maksimal 200 kata), (d) kata kunci, (e) identitas penulis (tanpa gelar akademik), (f) pendahuluan yang berisi latar belakang dan tujuan atau ruang lingkup tulisan, (g) isi/pembahasan (terbagi atas sub-sub judul), (h) penutup, dan (i) daftar rujukan. Artikel hasil penelitian disajikan dengan sistematika: (a) judul, (b) namanama peneliti, (c) abstrak dalam bahasa Indonesia dan Inggris (maksimal 200 kata), (d) kata kunci, (e) identitas penulis (tanpa gelar akademik), (f) pendahuluan yang berisi pembahasan kepustakaan dan tujuan penelitian, (g) metode, (h) hasil, (i) pembahasan (j) kesimpulan dan saran, dan (k) daftar rujukan.
- 7. Daftar rujukan disajikan mengikuti tata cara seperti contoh berikut dan diurutkan secara alfabetis dan kronologis.
  - Anderson, D.W., Vault, V.D., dan Dickson, C.E. 1993. *Problem and Prospects for the Decades*
  - Ahead: Competency Based Teacher Education. Barkeley: McCutchan Publishing Co.
  - Huda, N. 1991. *Penulisan Laporan Penelitian untuk Jurnal*. Makalah disajikan dalam Loka
  - Karya Penelitian Tingkat Dasar bagi Dosen PTN dan PTS di Malang Angkatan XIV, Pusat Penelitian IKIP MALANG, Malang, 12 Juli.
  - Prawoto, 1998. Pengaruh Pengirformasian Tujuan Pembelajaran dalam Modul terhadap Hasil
  - Belajar Siswa SD PAMONG Kelas Jauh. Tesis tidak diterbitkan. Malang: FPS IKIP MALANG.
  - Russel, T. 1993. An Alternative Conception: Representing Representation. Dalam P.J. Nlack & A. Lucas (Eds.) *Children's Informal Ideas in Science* (hlm. 62-84). London:Routledge.
  - Sihombing, U. 2003. *Pendataan Pendidikan Berbasis Masyarakat*. http://www.puskur.or.id.Diakses pada 21 April 2006.
  - Zainuddin, M.H. 1999. Meningkatkan Mutu Profesi Keguruan Indonesia. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*. 1 (1):45-52.
- 8. Pengiriman Artikel via email ke hudaferi@gmail.com paling lambat 3 bulan sebelum bulan penerbitan.

### CAKRAWALA PENDIDIKAN

#### Forum Komunikasi Ilmiah dan Ekspresi Kreatif Ilmu Pendidikan

### Volume 23, Nomor 1, April 2019

#### Daftar Isi

The Effectiveness of KWLH with Edraw-Max in the Teaching Reading Descriptive Text for Junior High School Students	1
Penerapan Treffinger Berbasis Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) pada Materi Lingkaran untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Siswa	11
The Effectiveness of Mind Mapping in Teaching Writing Recount Text on the Seventh Grade Students of SMPN 3 Kedungwaru in Academic Year 2018/2019	20
Pengaruh Kompensasi, Kepemimpinan dan Kompetensi terhadap Kinerja Pegawai KPU Kabupaten Tulungagung	29
The Effectiveness of TSTS Method with Movie in Teaching Speaking for Junior High School Students	46
The Effectiveness of TTW Strategy Using Video in Teaching Writing on Procedure Text for Senior High School  Herlina Rahmawati	62
Peran Guru di Era Revolusi Industri 4.0	76
The Effectiveness of ESA with WPS Presentation in the Teaching of Simple Present Tense for Junior High School Students	88
Keluarga Sebagai Peletak Dasar Penanaman Sikap Toleransi Anak	97

The Effectiveness of Small Group Discussion in Teaching Writing to the Seventh Grade Students of SMPN 3 Kedungwaru in Academic Year 2018 / 2019	109
The Effectiveness of DRTA Strategy with Instagram Media in the Teaching Reading for Senior High School Students	118
The Effectiveness of Process Writing Method with the Brain 10 in Teaching Writing for Junior High School Students	141
Profil Penalaran Siswa MTs Al Muslihuun pada Materi Peluang Berorientasi Pendekatan Metaphorical Thinking Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Matematis	154
Hubungan Pendidikan Karakter dengan Kecerdasan Intelektual dan Kecerdasan Emosional	163
PQRST Method in the Teaching Reading on Hortatory Exposition Text	177

#### THE EFFECTIVENESS OF KWLH WITH EDRAW-MAX IN THE TEACHING READING DESCRIPTIVE TEXT FOR JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

## Annisa Rahmasari Annisarahmasari87@gmail.com STKIP PGRI BLITAR

Abstrak: Penelitian ini mengkaji tentang keefektifan metode KWLH dengan *Edraw-Max* pada pengajaran pemahaman membaca teks deskriptif. Penelitian Semu sebagai bagian dari penelitian kuantitatif digunakan dalam penelitian ini. Data diperoleh dari *pre-test* dan *post-test*. Objek penelitian merupakan 62 siswa kelas 7 dimana satu kelas menjadi kelas eksperimen yang menggunakan metode KWLH dengan Edraw-Max dan satu kelas lain menjadi kelas kontrol yang menggunakan metode GTM. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian, penggunaan metode KWLH dengan *Edraw-Max* efektif dalam pengajaran pemahaman membaca teks deskriptif.

**Kata Kunci:** KWLH dengan Edraw-Max, membaca, teks deskriptif.

Abstract: This study was focused on the effectiveness of KWLH with Edraw-Max in the teaching reading descriptive text. A quasi experimental design as a part of quantitative research was employed in this study. The data were obtained through the pre-test and post-test. The sample was 62 students of seven graders. One class as an experimental group in which KWLHwith Edraw-Max was applied and one class as a control group which used GTM method. In the findings, it was shown that the use of KWLH with Edraw-Max was effective in the teaching reading descriptive text.

**Key Words:** *KWLH with Edraw-Max, reading, descriptive text.* 

#### INTRODUCTION

In English, there are four skills that should be mastered for Junior High School students, they are: listening, speaking, reading, and writing. The reading skill becomes very important in educational scale of Indonesia. According to Pintrich et. al which cited in Hamid stated that "Reading is a crucial skill for professional successful and academic

learning". So that, from the quotation from Pintrich et. al reading is something that important and indispensable for the students because of the successes of their study depends on the greater part of their ability to read. Therefore, students need to be exercised and treated well in order to gain a good score of reading skill. If their reading skill is poor, probably they get fail

in fulfilling the learning mastery or even they will have difficulty in making progress. On the other hand, if they have a good ability in reading, they will have a bigger chance to succeed in their study. However, the-reading technique suit interested by the learner? Does it appear joyful and delightful to his emotional level? According Mackey cited in Affandi (2013: 2) says that "The more emotion the reading arouses, the deeper the impression on the learner and the longer will he remember and more quickly recall the stories and their language". So those as a language teacher we must make a decision the best method to reach reading ability.

In this research, the writer used KWLH with Edraw-Max in the teaching of reading descriptive texts. KWLH is an instructional reading method to guide the students through and the text constructed understanding in comprehend the text they have learned and read. An understanding of them can measure when they fullfilling the KWLH scheme with they own ideas or words. It was developed by Ogle in 1986. According to Anderson cited in Ogle (1986: 564) Prior knowledge is extremely important in influencing how we interpret what we read and what we learn from reading". So that, it is obvious thet KWLH is the suitable and an appropriate method to make reading class more active and activate their prior knowledge.

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

#### The Definition of Reading

Anderson et.al, (1985) has defined that reading as the process of constructing meaning from written texts. It is a complex skill requiring the coordination of a number of interrelated sources of information. It needs the good furrow between the reader and the main contents of text which we read. William (1984), "Reading is a process whereby one looks at and understands what has been read. Moreover, reading is eve working processes that information transfers into the brain for produce the information.

#### **Purposes of Reading**

These are some purposes of reading stated Cadlin and Hall in Erika Sinambela (2015: 15) as follows. (1) Reading to search for simple information and reading to skim. (2) Reading to Learn for Text. (3) Reading to integrate information, write and critiques texts. (4) Reading for general information.

## **KWLH Method in Teaching English Reading**

**K** - Stands for helping students recall what they "Know" about the subject. W - Stands for helping students determine what they "Want" to learn. L - Stands for helping students identify what they "Learn" as they read. H -Stands for "How" we can learn (other sources more where additional information on the topic can be found). Thus, KWLH can be defined as the science of teaching,

which the aim is to activate the student's prior knowledge to construct the meaning in comprehending the text. even This method was developed by a Oglesince 1986

The method is based on the power of compehending the text and activates the prior of knowledge after they have already read the passage in learning. David. C cited in Erika Sinambela had stated that "K-W-L is a strategy" that models the active thinking needed when reading a text".

In applications of KWLH in foreign language learning, Sumardiono experimented with local descriptive text using Facebook media to enhance student's share through social media. Some of the classroom methodology was not particularly unique. The primary difference lay in a significant proportion of activity carried out in joyful and delightful class and there is no bored reading activity anymore.

#### RESEARCH DESIGN

This study is experimental research design applies quantitative research for it concerns with certain numbers of variable and numerical data. This design is used to identify and to investigate the effectiveness of KWLH with Edraw-Student's MAx on Reading Comprehension in Descriptive Text. It is also to describe the situation and the condition in the classroom when the English teacher teaches the material to the students by using

KWLH method. Meanwhile, the data used in this study is interval data because they are taken from students' scores of reading test.

The research design of this study is quasi-experimental design applying the nonrandomized control group, pretest-posttest design. Ary (2010:318) state that the nonrandomized control group, pretest-posttest design is a good second choice when random assignment of subjects to groups is not possible. The researcher taking this design with some reasons as follows:

First, the research was conducted without changing the setting of the class. Second, the research was executed using time schedule of the arranged by the institution as before. Third, The researcher creates the natural condition of the class to avoid the student to feel being observed which cause the extraneous variable. Last, the two classes used in this research have been separated long before the researcher conducts the research.

This study is due to find out the effectiveness of two teaching method, KWLH method and GTM method in the teaching and learning process. The researcher asks the English teacher to give chance in applying both methods and gets result from students' achievement after the teaching and learning process of reading of descriptive text using KWLH method.

When conducting the research, it divides the subjects into two classes.

One class as the control group (8E) was taught using GTM method while the other (8D) as the experimental group was taught by using KWLH with Edraw-Max.

#### **Variables**

Variable is a construct or a characteristic that can take on different values or scores. Researchers study variables and the relationships that exist among variable (Ary, 2010: 37). While others people define variable as the characteristic of research object from which data can be observed and measured.

Variables can be classified in several ways, according to Ary (2010), there are four types of variable, namely, categorical, continuous, independent, and dependent variables. However, related to the hypothesis of this study only two variables are observed. They are independent and variables. dependent The independent variables are KWLH method and GTM method while the dependent one is the students' achievement presented by their scores at the end of the treatment using those two teaching method.

#### **Treatment**

Treatment in experimental design is one of the activities that apply the method to get result. The treatment variable in some experiments consists of instructional material and time allocation than a teaching technique. The quasi-experimental research is conducted to know the effect of different treatment of teaching to the control group and the

experimental one with different teaching technique. The experimental group would be taught using KWLH method and the control group would be taught using GTM method.

#### **Instructional Material**

The intructional material that was used by researcher for two group are same in the material. The materials of this experimental study are taken from students' handbook, students' English worksheet, some text from media available to be used for the students and some English books related with the student's materials.

#### Time Allocation

The duration in this experiment was 2 x 45 minutes in each meeting. The experimental group needs 6 meetings and the control group needs 6 meetings to finish this experiment. This experiment was held in the first semester at the academic year of 2017/2018.

#### **Population**

Frankel and Wallen (2009: 90) state that the larger group to which one hopes to apply the results is called the population. In other words, population is a large group with certain characteristics determined by the researcher from which the generalization is made of defined.

The target population in this study is all of first-grade students in SMPN 09 Blitar that consist of 8 classes. The number of all class is 240 students. Of course the researcher will not observe all of the populations. To be more efficient and not to take a lot of time, the

researcher took two classes for the sample to collect the data.

#### Sample

Charles (1995: 96) cited in Affandi defines a sample as a small group of people selected to represent the much larger entire population from which is drawn. Here the two classes comprising 62 students as the sample of this research. It is divided into two groups, 30 students are taken from class 8E as the control group and 32 students are taken from class 8D as the experimental group. Those two classes will be given a pretest to measure the homogeneity of the class.

#### Instrument

Generally, the whole process of preparing to collect data is called instrumentation. In this study, the instrument used is test. The test is in the form of multiple choice assessment. There are at least two requirements to make a good test, namely, validity and reliability.

Ary (2010: 224) states that two very important concepts that researchers must understand when they use measuring instruments are validity and reliability.

#### Validity

Validity is an important key to effective research Ary (2010: 105). This validation must conducted to gain the valid data, because one of requisites a good test was valid. To measure the validity of an instrument, the researcher applied the Pearson Correlation to measure the test validity and Cronbach Alpha. The reading tests are validated using

content validity. Content validity measures the appearance of the tests in the curriculum content.

Besides, in this research, it also uses empirical validity. This kind of validity is to present when one measurement or set of data can predict another by occured at a later time. In this study uses factorial analysis which the scores of every number of the test were correlated with the total scores. The researcher does not include the traditional formula to measure it since the researcher uses Pearson Correlation to measure the test validity and Alpha Cronbach to measure reliability of the reading test. All of them are measured statistically using SPSS Version 20.

#### **Reliability**

Reliability of a measuring instrument is the degree of consistency with which it measures whatever it is measuringAry (2010: 236). reliable test is a test whih would provide a consistent set of scores for a group of individuals. Reliability is necessary but not sufficient condition for validity. A test which provides totally inconsistent result cannot possibly provide accurate information about the behavior being measured. Thus low reliability can be expected to restrict the degree of validity that is obtained, but high reliability provides no guarantee that a satisfactory degree of validity. Note that reliability refers to the nature of the test scores and it is not to the test itself. Any particular test has number of different 6

reliabilities, depending on the group involved and the situatuon in which it is used.

Furthermore, the reading test is measured its reliability to know the preciness of the language skill assessment result in representing the actual level of the reading skill of the examinees (the students). It can be seen from the result whether it precisely represents the true level of the skill being assessed or not. To measure it, the researcher uses Cronbach's Alpha coefficient.

#### **Method of Collecting Data**

The data are collected by using test. In this research, the researcher used multiple choice tests. These tests are used to measure the student's mastery in English. In the experimental and control groups the students were given a test to know the achievement of the students and how far the instructional objectives could be reached.

#### Pre-test

Before the teacher teaches the material using KWLH method with Edraw-Max to teach reading descriptive text, the teacher gives tocontrol pre-test group and group. experimental The main purpose of the pre-test is to know the ability of the students.

#### **Treatment**

The treatment of design method by using quasi-experimental, we compare two groups and each group will receive different treatment. The experimental group will treat using KWLH with Edraw-Max and the control group will treat using

Grammar Translation Method (GTM).

#### Post-test

Its purpose of doing the post test is to investigate the effect of both methods to the students' achievement in reading comprehension. Finally, the score obtained in the post test is analyzed.

#### **Data Collection**

This study is trying to find out the effectiveness of the used KWLH method in Reading Descriptive text in the classroom. In this research, there are two kinds of test, namely, pretest and posttest. These tests are used to know the ability of students' achievement after they are taught KWLH and GTM. The form of test is multiple choice assessment which is used by teacher to give concerned detailed description performance. Before they do the test, the researcher gives them short instruction and explanation in order that they really understand what to

#### **Method of Analyzing Data**

The data are collected by using test. In this research, the researcher used multiple choice tests. These tests are used to measure the student's mastery in English. In the experimental and control groups the students were given a test to know the achievement of the students and how far the instructional objectives could be reached.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The conclusions are presented in accordance with the problem,

objective, and the hypothesis of this study stated in previous chapter. It gets the following conclusion concerning the research method. Here are the conclusions:

First conclusion. based on statistical analysis discussed chapter IV, the data taken from method\*pretest, the significant value is 0.288 which is much greater than 0.05. It means the scatter plots of each groups on the graphic of testing of linearity showed that linear (straight-line) relationship for each not a curvilinear group and relationship, that is why it could be said that the assumption of the linearity is not violated. Based on this ANCOVA finding, the further analysis can be preceded.

Second conclusion, based on the ANCOVA Table 4.3 (labeled Technique on the SPSS output) showed the result of the analysis indicate that the null hypothesis saying that both methods (Grammar Translation Method and KWLH method) are equal should be rejected, F (1.60)= F 48.088, P 0.000 < 0.05.

Third conclusion, the learning method by using KWLH with Edraw-Max is proved more effective in the teaching reading comprehension at the first year students of SMPN 9 Blitar. From the statistical point of view, the finding the research showed statistically the students taught using KWLH with Edraw-Max showed significant higher achievement than taught using those Grammar Translation Method. Furthermore, it can be seen from the differences between the adjusted means for two groups, which are reported in the Estimated Marginal means table as 71.268 for the students KWLH with Edraw-Max, and 61.272 for the students taught using Grammar Translation Method.

#### **SUGGESTIONS**

From the conclusions above, the researcher gives suggestion as follows:

The Suggestions are giving for the several scale.

#### For the Teachers

Because of the most teacher in Indonesia using the oldest method which make the teaching learning activity is not effective, it means to make the activity more effective of improving the students reading comprehension skill, the teacher should change or find a new method to an innovative method in teaching reading comprehension, the one is KWLH with Edraw-Max.

#### For the Students

To get understanding the reading material for students, it suggest for Junior High School is using KWLH with Edraw-Max in teaching learning. Because KWLH is one the best-known study method for helping students understand the material.

#### **REFERENCES**

Anderson, et al. (1985). *Becoming A Nation of Readers*: the report of the comission on Reading. National

Academyof Education, Washington DC.

Ary, Donald, et al. (2010).

Introduction to research in Education 8<sup>th</sup> edition.

Canada.

Asl, Ismaeil Heydari. (2015).**Comparative** Study of Translation Grammar Method (GTM) and Communicative Language **Teaching** (CLT)in Language *Methodology. Teaching* Human Journal.

Brown, H. Douglas. (2000).

Teaching By Principles:

An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy.

Second Edition.

Chair, Catherine Snow. (2002).

Reading for

Understanding: Toward

an R&D Program in

Reading Comprehension.

Arlington: RAND.

Chlyne, Michael. (2008). English as

an International

Language. Autralian

Review of Appied

Linguistics.Monash

University Epress.

EdrawSoft. (2004). Edraw Max V8

User's Manual. Edraw
and Edraw Logo.

<a href="http://www.edrawsoft.co">http://www.edrawsoft.co</a>
<a href="mailto:m.">m.</a>, retrieved at March 2<sup>nd</sup>
2018, 10.00

Elisabet et.al. (2015). Teaching
Reading Comprehension
of Descriptive Text
Through PORST

*Technique* Based on Whole Language Approach. **English** Education Study Program, and Languages and Arts Education Department, **Teacher Training** Education Faculty of Tanjungpura University in Pontianak.

Etfita, Fauzul. (2016). Improvinng
Student's Reading
Comprehension of
Descriptive Texts
Through Cognitive
Strateghy. Islamic
University of Riau.JSHMIC, Vol 3, No 2.

Freeman, Diane Larsen. (2000).

Techniques and
Principles in Language
Teaching. Oxford
University Press.

Grellet, Francoise. (1999).

\*\*Developing Reading Skills\*,(New York: Cambridge University Press).

Hamdan, Mohammad Hussein.
(2014). KWL-Plus
Effectiveness on
Improving Reading
Comprehension of Tenth
Graders of Jordanian
Male Students. Finland:
Academy Publisher.
ISSN: 1799-2591.

Hamid, Siti Maryam et al. (2016).

The Use of Prezi with

Know, Want, and Learn

(KWL) Strategy to

Enhance Students

Reading Comprehension.

English Language
Education Publisher.

ISSN 23033037 E-ISSN
25032291. State
University of Makassar.

Harmer, Jeremy. (1991). The

Practice of English

Language Teaching.

Longman Handbooks for

Language Teacher).

Longman Paperpack.

Heydari, Ismaeil. (2015).*Comparative* Study of Grammar **Translation** Method (GTM) and Communicative Language **Teaching** (CLT)in Language Teaching Methodology. Hunab **Journals** Publisher.

Kholifah, Umi Nur. (2017). The
effectiveness of Genre
Based Approach
Through Edmodo
Application On Junior
High School Student's
Writing Ability.

Mackay. 2000. "How To Teach English". Harvard University Press

MS, Nikmaturrohmah. (2016). The Implementation of K-W-L Strategy in Teaching Reading at The Second Grade of Mts N 2 Tanggamus. Universitas Lampung.

Muis, Nurmailis. (2013). *Improving Students' Reading Comprehension of* 

Descriptive Texts Using Pictures and Semantic Mapping at Grade VII.1 of SMPN 2 X Koto Diatas Solok Regency.

Journal English Language Teaching (ELT) Vol.1 No.2

Ogle, M Donna. (1996). A Teaching

Model That Develops

Active Reading of

Expository

TextAuthor(s). Vol:39

No.6

Pallant, Julie F. 2002. SPSS Survival

Manual. Faculty of

Monash University.

Pertiwi, Dian. (2017). The
Effectiveness of KWL
(Know, Want, Learned)
Method with Graphic
Organization in Reading
Comprehension for
Junior High School
Students.

Riswanto, et.al. 2014. The Effect of
Using KWL (Know Want
Learn) Strategy on EFL
Students Reading
Comprehension
Achievement.
International Journal of
Humanities and Social
Science Vol. 4 (7). ISSN
: 225-234.

Setiawan, Arif et.al. (2013). The

Effectiveness of Teaching
Reading Comprehension
of Descriptive Text
Through KWL Strategy.
English Education Study
Program, and Languages

and Arts Education Department, Teacher Training Education Faculty of Tanjungpura University in Pontianak.

Sinambela, Erika, et.al. Improving Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement by Using K-W-L Strategy. English Linguistics Research. Sciedu Press. Vol. 4. (03):1927-6036. Sciedu Press. Vol. 4. (03). ISSN : 1927-6036.

Sumardiono. (2013). Using KWLH Technique to Understand Local Descriptive Texts Teaching Reading: Enhancing Teachers' Share through Social Media. Komisi Perlindungan Konsumen Publisher. Indonesia ISSN: 2354: 9920 Vol:1 No. 2

Wulandari, Desy et al. (2013). Students' *Improving* Reading Comprehension of Descriptive Text By Prediction Using Strategy. **FKIP** Universitas Lampung. Vol. 4 No.6 ISSN: 268 -276